Intel’s rules

• The password must be at least 8 characters long.
• The password **must** contain at least:
  ○ one alpha character [a-zA-Z];
  ○ one numeric character [0-9];
  ○ one special character from this set:
    ` ` '! @ $ % ^ & * ( ) - _ = + [ ] ; : ' " , < . > / ?
• The password **must not**:  
  ○ contain spaces;
  ○ begin with an exclamation [!] or a question mark [?];
  ○ contain your login ID.
• The first 3 characters cannot be the same.
• The sequence of the first 3 characters cannot be in your login ID.
• The first 8 characters cannot be the same as in your previous password.
• Passwords are treated as **case sensitive**.
Golden Rule Health

PASSWORD RULES (Please note the password is case sensitive)

Must contain at least 8 characters.

Must include a number and a letter.
No more than two consecutive characters may be the same.

Passwords must be changed at least every 180 days.

No password may be re-used for a period of 1 year.

3 invalid attempts to login will result in a 30 minute lockout.
Wachovia

- User IDs must be 7-20 characters
- User IDs must contain at least one letter; numbers are allowed, but not required
- User IDs cannot contain spaces
- User IDs cannot contain your Social Security Number, Tax Identification Number, or your Customer Access Number
- No special characters are allowed, such as: ! @ # $ % ^ &
- Use of an underscore is allowed but not required: _
- Do not use your Password as your User ID

Password:

- Passwords must be 7-20 characters
- Must include at least one letter and one number, with no spaces
- Semi-colons cannot be part of a Password
- Passwords are case sensitive
- Do not use your User ID as your Password
Dartmouth

- It should be **eight characters long** using only numbers and **upper- and lower-case letters**. **Note**: Passwords longer than eight characters will not work to authenticate you with some applications used at Dartmouth, such as Kerberos and Oracle Calendar.
- There can be **no more than four characters in sequence** (e.g., 12345 or abcd are not allowed).
- It must contain at least **five different characters** (e.g., 2a3a2a3a only contains three different characters so is not allowed).
- It cannot be a word found in the dictionary, including foreign languages (e.g., `password`).
- It cannot be a reversal of a word found in the dictionary (e.g., drowssap).
- It cannot be a word found in the dictionary, plus one additional character either before or after the word (e.g., `xalgebra` or `algebrax`).
- It cannot be a word found in the dictionary with numbers substituted for look-alike letters (e.g., `passw0rd` or `pa55word`).
- It cannot be a word found in the dictionary minus any punctuation, symbols, or numbers (e.g., `oclock` or `soninlaw`).
AT&T (Uverse)

1. Passwords are case sensitive.
2. Passwords must be 6-24 characters long.
3. Password characters must be alphanumerical.
4. Password must contain at least one alpha character and at least one numeric character.
5. Password cannot match Member ID.
6. Password cannot have any special characters except hyphen (-) and/or underscore (___).
7. Avoid using personal information, such as name, birth date or ZIP code.
Passwords can contain **alpha or numeric characters (No special characters)**.
A password must **begin with an alphabetic character**.
Passwords are a minimum of **5 characters and a maximum of 8 characters**.
You may not reuse a password for six months.
Passwords are **not case sensitive**.

**Note:** **Your password will expire every 60 days.**
OAG password rules

* The password must be **at least seven characters long and cannot exceed fifty characters.**
* The password is **case sensitive** and must include **at least one letter and one numeric digit.**
* The password **may include punctuation characters but cannot contain spaces or single or double apostrophes.**
* The password must be in **Roman characters**
World of Warcraft Wizard Rules

* Your Account Password must contain at least one numeric character and one alphabetic character.
* It must differ from your Account Name.
* It must be between eight and sixteen characters in length.
* It may only contain alphanumerical characters and punctuation such as A-Z, 0-9, or !"#$%. 
- Passwords shall not contain any proper noun or the name of any person, pet, child, or fictional character. Passwords shall not contain any employee serial number, Social Security number, birth date, phone number, or any information that could be readily guessed about the creator of the password.
- Passwords shall not contain any simple pattern of letters or numbers, such as "qwerty" or "xyz123".
- Passwords shall not be any word, noun, or name spelled backwards or appended with a single digit or with a two-digit "year" string, such as 98xyz123.
- Pass phrases, if used in addition to or instead of passwords, should follow the same guidelines.
- Passwords shall not be the same as the User ID.

Create a password between 8 to 15 characters.
Your password must contain at least:
  • one special character (shift-number)
  • one uppercase character
  • one lowercase character
  • and NOT contain any spaces
CalNet passphrases

1. A minimum length of 9 characters (maximum 255). It may also include spaces (which is why we call it a passphrase).
2. It must contain characters from at least three of the following four character groups:
   a. English uppercase (A through Z)
   b. English lowercase (a through z)
   c. numeric digits (0 through 9)
   d. non-alphanumeric characters (such as !, $, #, or %)
3. Without regard to case, the passphrase may not contain your first name, middle name, last name, or your CalNet ID itself if any of these are three characters or longer.
4. Any time you change your passphrase, the new one may not be the same as the current or previous passphrase.
United Airlines rules

Passwords may be any combination of six (6) characters and are case insensitive.

Your password will grant you access to united.com, as well as other United features such as our wireless flight paging service, EasyAccess.

For security, certain passwords, such as "united" and "password" are not allowed.
Lehigh Alumni website

Password Requirements
You must use **at least 7 characters**, and are required to use **at least 2 of the following**:

- Uppercase letter(s)
- Lowercase letter(s)
- Number(s)
- Symbol(s)

space? yes, I tried it
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>length</th>
<th>case sens.</th>
<th>A-Z</th>
<th>a-z</th>
<th>0-9</th>
<th>sym</th>
<th>OK</th>
<th>not OK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intel</td>
<td>&gt;=8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>ok</td>
<td>ok</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Rule</td>
<td>&gt;=8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wachovia</td>
<td>7-20</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>ok</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>ok</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dartmouth</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>ok</td>
<td>ok</td>
<td>ok</td>
<td>ok</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT&amp;T Uvers</td>
<td>6-24</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>ok</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT&amp;T GNO</td>
<td>5-8</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAG</td>
<td>7-50</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>ok</td>
<td>ok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>War-craft</td>
<td>8-16</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>ok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS</td>
<td>8-15</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calnet</td>
<td>9-255</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAL</td>
<td>6-24</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehigh</td>
<td>&gt;=7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I’m thinking we need an ANSI/ISO standard for passwords!
Use A Different Password on each Target System
Change Your Password Frequently
Don’t Reuse Passwords
Don’t Write Your Password Down
Who is Responsible For This Eye-Of-Newt Password Fascism?
Well, I am, a Little
100 Most Influential People in IT

eWeek, 2008-04-04

96. Dave Winer
Software developer and entrepreneur

Winer is the developer of RSS.

97. Thornton May
Florida Community College, IT Leadership Academy
May is a noted technology futurist.

98. William
Cheswick
Lead member of technical staff, AT&T Labs

Cheswick continues to innovate in the area of communications research.

99. Chris Anderson
Author


100. Ben Bernanke
Chairman, Federal Reserve Board

No one will have a bigger impact on the fate of the nation’s banks and financial services companies, interest rates, or access to credit.
What are these rules for?

• The users need to know, because rules that make sense increase compliance
• A marine guarding nuclear weapons knows why his job is important
• Grandma doesn’t understand why her password isn’t a word, it’s a trial
A note on Grandma
A Short Excerpt From a 1950s Security Training Film
If you let Hassan guess long enough, he’s going to get it right.

- We tried to make it harder to guess, because computers are doing the guessing, and they can make *lots* of them.
  - And Moore’s law just makes computers better guessers.
- If you limit the guesses, this game goes away.
  - But we play it any way.
We knew that people are lousy at picking passwords by 1990 (actually much earlier)

The Dictionary Attack Arms Race

- Moore’s Law: 12 doublings since 1990
- And multi-core CPUs are perfect for password cracking
- Can a human choose and remember a password that a computer can’t guess when limited only by computer speed and time available?
These rules come from the Deep Past in computing and security

- Time sharing terminals in public places
- Attacks on the login interfaces on network services
- Network eavesdropping was often trivial
- The stakes were usually much lower
- Institutionalized passwords on, say, telephone switches
What are the most common current threats

- Keystroke loggers
- Phishing attacks
- Password database compromise
None of these are grandma’s fault!

It is simply poor engineering to expect people to select and remember passwords that are resistant to dictionary attacks.
Results

• People violate many of these rules routinely, for usability reasons
• Stringent rules increase use of fall-back systems, which are usually less secure, or more expensive
• The rules don’t make most things more secure in the face of most current threats
Those that accept advertising, purchase sponsored links, or user has a choice have weakest password requirements

Strongest passwords: .gov, then .edu
Proposed Non-moronic password rule

Pick something a friend, colleague won’t guess in a few tries, and they can’t figure out while watching you type it
Grandma can understand and comply with this rule

• It makes sense
• Now, dictionary words are okay
• Simpler passwords are easier to remember
• You probably don’t have to write them down
Another Solution: Don’t allow common passwords

*Popularity is Everything*

Stuart Schechter, Cormac Herley, Michael Mitzenmacher;
HOTSEC 2010.
Count and limit password choices

• I.E. only 100 people (out of a million?) may use *password* as a password
• Makes the dictionary attack much harder: common targets vanish
• Makes passwords harder to choose, like picking a gmail account name: *dragonslayer6478*
Summary solution

- Limited guesses and lock the account
- Non-moronic passwords
- Make locked accounts less painful
Less painful account locking

- Don’t count duplicate password attempts
  - they probably thought they mistyped it
- Make the password hint about the primary password, and don’t have a (weak) secondary
- Allow a trusted party to vouch for the user, so he can change his password
- Lock the account in increasing time increments
- Remind the user of password rules
We need research on account locking

• Not studied much in the open literature
• Practitioners could contribute:
  - what does a lost password cost?
  - how long will a user wait for an unlock?
Better Solutions

Getting out of the game
SecureNet Key SNK-004
A login from my distant past

RISC/os (inet)

Authentication Server.

Id? ches
Enter response code for 70202: 04432234

Destination? cetus
$
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SecureID
RSA Softkey

Enter PIN

Passcode

8621 1490

27 sec remaining
Great Things about the Softkey

• You always have your iPhone with you
• A bad PIN simply gives the wrong answer
• That means that the program doesn’t know the right answer
• That means that forensics can’t run a dictionary attack on it with having an observed login
• That means that a lost iPhone isn’t an authentication disaster
Challenge/Response passwords

- Gets us out of the game
- Sniffing is not useful
- Man-in-the-middle can still be used
- Pretty much nothing to forget
- A PIN is helpful to make two-factor authentication
- Surprisingly cheap
Why aren’t these ubiquitous?

• Cheap devices available before 1990
• People hate:
  - Having to carry the device
  - Entering the challenge (why SNK lost)
  - Entering the response
  - Carrying multiple devices
Still Want Your Strong Passwords?

Okay, fine. But let’s make them fun, or at least easier to type (and tap)
Dictionary attacks still a concern

- For standard Unix logins
- For ssh password logins
- Against captured oracle streams, like PGP and ssh key files, cleartext challenge/response fields in protocols
- These are not mainstream attacks these days. Stolen laptops/iPhones a concern
A Very Short Course on Entropy
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Pick one at random, entropy = 10 bits ($2^{10} = 1024$)
Two random choices = 20 bits
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20 bits, our two words

• “example early”
Good stuff!

• The list of words isn’t secret
• so spelling checker is okay!
• easy words to type
• on an iPhone, pick words where the “tappos”
give the word you wanted
Required entropy, according to Florêncio and Herley

- Facebook, Twitter, etc. are a minimum of ~20 bits
- Banks are in the 30s
- Government in the mid 40s and up
If you must, each line has 60 bits of entropy

- value part peter sense some computer
- anxiety materials preparation sample experimental
- bliss rubbery uncial Irish
- 2e3059156c9e378
If you really need “high entropy” passwords

• Not user-chosen, but user can veto, waiting for a “good one”
  - User-chosen phrases have much lower entropy
• They are going to write it down, for a while
• For daily use: who’s going to remember this over a year?
Words Are Better Than Eye-of-Newt

• Much easier to type
• Spelling checking (iPhone) is your friend, not enemy
Uncial

uncial |ˈən sh əl; -sēəl| adjective
1. of or written in a majuscule script with rounded unjoined letters that is found in European manuscripts of the 4th–8th centuries and from which modern capital letters are derived.

2. rare of or relating to an inch or an ounce.
noun an uncial letter or script.
You grim-faced pipe of pleuritic snipe sweat
You dire chiffonier of foul miniature poodle squirt
You teratic theca of pathogenic moth dingleberry
You worrying pan broiler of bilious puff adder slobber
You vile wok of tumorigenic aphid leftovers
You baneful reliquary of pneumonic miller stumps
You atrocious terrine of harmful Virginia deer vomition
You excruciating pony of septic redstart eccrisis
You blotted kibble of unhygenic wild sheep spittle
You hard-featured fistula of podagric macaque flux
iPhone-Friendly? (40 bits)

- grade likes jokes guess
- goes joke gold gods rode fire rows
- votes mines bored alike yard
- what knit bomb unit star grow
- actor agent above angel abuse
- honey learn least lemon links
Some Password Ideas

From academia, and me
For a complete survey, see

from Dirik, Memon, Birget; SOUPS 2007
Passfaces

Welcome to Passfaces, Please Log On

Click on your passface to logon
(go on!)
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My passfaces

Here are your passfaces ...

Press Next
(Don't worry about remembering your passfaces at this stage)
Deja Vu (Recognition-based)
Draw a Secret

Lin, Dunphy, et al. SOUPS 2007
Use Your Illusion (SOUPS 2008)

Please memorize the three distorted images shown above.

OK
Some Whacko Ideas from ches

Passmaps
TODO: Find a point in New York State
Adirondacks are nice
Lakes have interesting shapes, let's zoom in on the middle.
Dogs bark, check out the voice box
PW is lat/long of the center island
Passmaps?

• Reproducibly zoom in on a remembered set of map features?
• Lots of bits
• Maybe hard to shoulder surf
• Not challenge/response
• Memorable over a year?
• Nice for a touch screen?
Some Whacko Ches Ideas

How about passgraphs? Get Google out of the loop
The Mandelbrot Set
Passgraphs?

• Similar to passmaps, but Google is out of the equation
• Maps can have a personal meaning
  - Is this a good thing, or a bad thing?
Some Whacko ches Ideas

Obfuscated human-computed challenge response
Problem

• One-time passwords solve a lot of password problems
• One-time passwords (usually challenge/response) require something you have
• Equipment can be expensive, and it may be necessary to authenticate when equipment is not available
Baseball players

• Under a lot of stress
• Information is often vital to the game
• Not always the sharpest knife in the drawer
  - Babe Ruth forgot the signs five steps out on the field
Key insight?

- Humans can’t compute well, but perhaps they can obfuscate well enough
Proposed approach

• Use human-computed responses to computer challenges for authentication
• Though the computation is easy, much of the challenge and response is ignored
• Obfuscation and lack of samples complicate the attacker’s job beyond utility
Challenge:                            Response:
ches 00319 Thu Dec 20 15:32:22 2001   23456bcd;f.k
root 00294 Fri Dec 21 16:47:39 2001   nj3kdi2jh3yd6fh:/
ches 00311 Fri Dec 21 16:48:50 2001   /ldh3g7fgl
ches 00360 Thu Jan 3 12:52:29 2002   jdi38kfj934hdy;dkf7
ches 00416 Fri Jan 4 09:02:02 2002   jf/13kf.12cxn. y
ches 00301 Fri Jan 4 13:29:12 2002   j2mdjudurut2jdnch2hdtg3kdjf;s'/s
ches 00301 Fri Jan 4 13:29:30 2002   j2mdgfj./m3hd’k4hfz
ches 00308 Tue Jan 8 09:35:26 2002   /16k3jdq,
ches 84588 Thu Jan 10 09:24:18 2002   jf010fk;.j
ches 84588 Thu Jan 10 09:24:35 2002   heu212jdg431j/
ches 00306 Thu Jan 17 10:46:00 2002   jfg bv,vj/.,1
ches 00309 Fri Jan 18 09:37:09 2002   no way 1 way is best!/!
ches 00309 Fri Jan 18 09:37:36 2002   jzw                          * no *
ches 00368 Tue Jan 22 09:51:41 2002   84137405jgf/
ches 77074 Tue Feb 19 09:02:52 2002   d                          * no *
ches 77074 Tue Feb 19 09:02:57 2002   hbcg3]’d/
ches 00163 Mon Feb 25 09:24:30 2002   d                          * no *
ches 00163 Mon Feb 25 09:24:35 2002   ozhdkf0ey2k/,.vk01
ches 00156 Tue Mar 12 12:41:12 2002   3+4=7 but not 10 or 4/2
ches 00161 Fri Mar 15 09:41:20 2002   /.kl9djfir
ches 00161 Fri Mar 15 09:41:36 2002   3                          * no *
ches 00160 Mon Mar 25 08:52:59 2002   222
ches 00160 Mon Mar 25 08:53:09 2002   2272645
ches 29709 Mon Apr  1 11:36:34 2002   4
ches 41424 Mon Apr  8 09:49:09 2002   ab3kdhf
ches 85039 Tue Apr  9 09:46:06 2002   04
ches 00161 Thu Apr 18 10:49:14 2002   898for/dklf7d
Pass-authentication

• Literature goes back to 1967
• A variety of names used: reconstructed passwords, pass-algorithms, human-computer cryptography, HumanAut, secure human-computer identification, cognitive trapdoor games, human interactive proofs
Possible uses

• emergency holographic logins ("passwords of last resort")
• use from insecure terminals, when single session eavesdropping is probably not a problem
• if a solution is found: daily logins
• home run: online transactions: banking
Problems

• Can Joe Sixpack do this?
  - Math is hard
  - Procedural vs informational knowledge
Two Kinds of P-A Solutions

- *ad hoc*
- information theoretic
Ad Hoc solutions

• familiar to the designer
• idiosyncratic
• hard to analyze
Information theoretic

• Strong proof of work factor to crack
• None seem usable to me, and certainly not useable to Joe Sixpack
Updated Advice

For Users
Recommendations for users

• Use three levels of passwords based on importance:
  - No importance: NY Times, etc.
  - Inconvenient if stolen: Amazon
  - Major problem if abused: bank access, medical records(?)
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For users (cont.)

• Write down the rare ones if you must
  - Don’t write down the password, write a reminder of the password
• Use variations to meet “strong” password requirements.
• Do note required variations (i.e. lower case, no spaces)
Save your passwords with Firefox?

• Little difference against keystroke logging
• Key-ring protection mechanisms subject to dictionary attacks
• If stolen, you have given away an authentication factor
Updated Advice

For Implementors
Out of the Dictionary Attack Game

- Count and manage authentication attempts with a server
- pam_tally
- slow or block accounts (block is better than loss of control of an account)
- blacklist inquisitive IP addresses
- Avoid strong passwords in most cases
Implement security where Don’t Be a Moron is good enough

• Any character is legal, except newline and backspace
Use an authentication server

- Centralizes the security function
- Make it strong and robust
- Replication is dangerous, reliability is better
- Limit authentication attempts
- *DO NOT LET IT BE COMPROMISED*
Near-public authentication servers

- OpenID
- Openauth
- The general idea is appealing
Identify the auth. server and pw rules

- Usually just an additional line to a web pages
- Yes, it leaks a little information
- It greatly eases the usability
  - name of server eliminates guessing and pw leakage
  - rules remind user of pw variation used
Don’t make acct. names too easy to guess

• Thwarts single password, multi-account scans
• U.S. Social security numbers are a little too guessable. Credit cards seem to be okay.
• But secret rules (hyphens in social security number?) reduce usability without improving security
PIN != password

- A PIN is a sequence of digits only
- A password is a superset of PINs
- A passphrase is a series of words, but probably should not be called a phrase. Passcode is probably better
Getting out of the game: ssh

• disable password logins. Use DSA key from a trustable client, that key locked with a strong pass-phrase
  - two-factor authentication
  - dictionary attack is rare endgame: you have to steal or own the client first
  - Reasonably secure clients are doable
Use Client certificates to limit attack surface

• Limiting connections to those with known client certificates gets you mostly out of the game
• Many mail clients do not offer client cert. processing, and should
Yeahbuttal
Yeahbuttal

• These ideas will take time to deploy, if they do
• Huge installed base
• Corporate conglomerates have hundreds or thousands of these!
Yeahbuttal

- Who owns the app?
- Who hosts it?
- Third party applications? (401k, health, etc.)
- Who developed it? (often long gone)
- What is the business function
- Buy-in is needed from all parties
- Development costs?
Fix it anyway

• This is one of those economies of scale you told the shareholders the merger was going to buy
• Authentication servers should be relatively simple to code and maintain
• If you don’t understand who your users are, your security is shot from the start
Fix it Anyway

- Annoyed users are uncooperative users
- There is a substantial cost when a large community has to deal with authentication foolishness on a routine basis
Strong Authentication, not strong passwords

- Use multi-factor authentication when it is really important
- Ubiquitous laptops and cell phones can be used for middle-level authentication
Selling weaker passwords

• ATM PINs of 4 digits work fine
• Cut user support costs
• Backup passwords are usually weaker
• Improve the users’ experience
• Annoyed users are less cooperative
• *Tell them I (#98!) said it was probably a good idea*
Summary

• Distribute and require client certificates
• Use ssh with pass-phrased locked digital key, never passwords
• Use crypto services, like IMAPS, SMTPS
• Limit password attempts
People, we have to do better than this

- The Bad Guys are getting much better
- Our computer systems are getting much more important to us
- Security has to be thought about, and reviewed
Dangerous browsing

- *All Your IFRAMES Point to Us*, Provos and Mavrommatis (Google), Rajab and Monrose (JHU); Usenix Security 2008
Dangerous patches

Provably-hidden malware

COTS CPUs dangerous?

Stuxnet

• The pros are very good at this sort of thing
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